

# Nonlocal ghost formalism for quantum gravity

Giampiero Esposito \*

*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli,*

*Mostra d'Oltremare Padiglione 20, 80125 Napoli, Italy*

*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche,*

*Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo,*

*Via Cintia, Edificio G, 80126 Napoli, Italy*

## Abstract

The quantum theory of a free particle on a portion of two-dimensional Euclidean space bounded by a circle and subject to non-local boundary conditions gives rise to bulk and surface states. Starting from this well known property, a counterpart for gravity is here considered. In particular, if spatial components of metric perturbations are set to zero at the boundary, invariance of the full set of boundary conditions under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms is compatible with non-local boundary conditions on normal components of metric perturbations if and only if both the gauge-field operator and the ghost operator are pseudo-differential operators in one-loop quantum gravity.

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*Electronic address: giampiero.esposito@na.infn.it

Work over the last twelve years on the problem of boundary conditions in (one-loop) Euclidean quantum gravity has focused on a local formulation, by trying to satisfy a number of basic requirements, which are as follows [1].

- (i) Local nature of the boundary operators.
- (ii) Operator on metric perturbations and ghost operator of Laplace type and essentially self-adjoint.
- (iii) Strong ellipticity of the boundary-value problems for such differential operators with local boundary conditions.
- (iv) Gauge invariance of the boundary conditions and/or of the out-in (one-loop) amplitude.

At about the same time, in papers devoted to quantum field theory and quantum gravity, non-local boundary conditions had been studied mainly for operators of Dirac type, with the exception of the work in Ref. [2], where a set of non-local boundary conditions for the quantized gravitational field was analyzed in detail. On the other hand, non-local boundary conditions for operators of Laplace type had already been studied quite intensively in the mathematical literature dealing with functional calculus of pseudo-differential boundary-value problems [3]. Here, however, we are concerned with physical applications, and hence we begin our presentation, following Ref. [4], with a problem inspired by Bose–Einstein condensation models, i.e. the quantum theory of a free particle in two dimensions subject to non-local boundary conditions on a circle.

More precisely, given the function  $q \in L_1(\mathbf{R}) \cap L_2(\mathbf{R})$ , one may define

$$q_R(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi R} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ilx/R} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ily/R} q(y) dy. \quad (1)$$

The function  $q_R$  is, by construction, periodic with period  $2\pi R$ , and tends to  $q$  as  $R$  tends to  $\infty$ . On considering the region

$$B_R \equiv \{x, y : x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2\}, \quad (2)$$

one studies the Laplacian acting on square-integrable functions on  $B_R$ , with non-local boundary conditions given by [4]

$$[u; N]_{\partial B_R} + \oint_{\partial B_R} q_R(s - s') u(R \cos(s'/R), R \sin(s'/R)) ds' = 0. \quad (3)$$

In polar coordinates, the resulting boundary-value problem reads

$$-\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}\right) u = Eu, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(R, \varphi) + R \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} q_R(R(\varphi - \theta)) u(R, \theta) d\theta = 0. \quad (5)$$

For example, when the eigenvalue  $E$  is positive in Eq. (4), the corresponding eigenfunction reads

$$u_{l,E}(r, \varphi) = J_l(r\sqrt{E})e^{il\varphi}, \quad (6)$$

where  $J_l$  is the standard notation for the Bessel function of first kind of order  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On denoting by  $\tilde{q}$  the Fourier transform of  $q$ , and inserting the formula (6) into the boundary condition (5), one finds an equation leading, implicitly, to the knowledge of positive eigenvalues, i.e.

$$\left[ \sqrt{E} J'_l(R\sqrt{E}) + J_l(R\sqrt{E}) \tilde{q}(l/R) \right] = 0. \quad (7)$$

The solutions which decay rapidly away from the boundary are the *surface states*, whereas the solutions which remain non-negligible are called *bulk states* [4].

Since so many interesting new features arise already at the level of non-relativistic quantum mechanics when non-local boundary conditions are imposed, a naturally occurring question is whether a counterpart exists in the quantum theory of the gravitational field in the Euclidean regime. Indeed, the one-loop quantum theory and the use of local boundary conditions are known to lead to operators of Laplace type on metric perturbations, if linear covariant gauges are used in the path integral for out-in amplitudes. Let us also recall that in Euclidean quantum gravity the request of invariance under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms of homogeneous Dirichlet conditions on spatial components  $h_{ij}$  of metric perturbations leads to homogeneous Dirichlet conditions on the whole ghost one-form [5–7] for all boundaries which are not totally geodesic (a boundary is said to be totally geodesic when its extrinsic curvature tensor vanishes). At that stage, the vanishing of the gauge-averaging functional  $\Phi_a(h)$  at the boundary is imposed to ensure that this remaining set of boundary conditions on metric perturbations leads again to homogeneous Dirichlet conditions on the ghost (with the exception of zero-modes [8]). Here, however, we would like to consider non-local boundary operators.

We therefore assume that  $\Phi_a(h)$  consists of the de Donder term (which has the advantage of leading to an operator of Laplace type on metric perturbations with purely local boundary conditions) plus a pseudo-differential part with suitably smooth kernel, i.e. (here  $\hat{h} \equiv g^{cd}h_{cd}$ )

$$\Phi_a(h) \equiv \nabla^b \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) + (\zeta h)_a, \quad (8)$$

where

$$(\zeta h)_a(x) \equiv \int_M \zeta_a^{cd}(x, x') h_{cd}(x') dV'. \quad (9)$$

As in Ref. [8],  $\nabla$  is the connection on the bundle of symmetric rank-2 tensor fields over  $M$ ,  $g$  is the background metric, and  $dV'$  is the integration measure over  $M$ . Moreover,  $\zeta_a^{cd}(x, x')$  is taken to be a kernel on the curved  $m$ -dimensional Riemannian background  $(M, g)$  (for technical details, see the appendix of Ref. [9]). By virtue of the assumption (9), the standard rule for the evaluation of the ghost operator  $\mathcal{F}_a^b$  yields now a non-trivial result, because

$$\Phi_a(h) - \Phi_a(\varepsilon h) = \mathcal{F}_a^b \varepsilon_b, \quad (10)$$

where (here  $\square \equiv g^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b$ )

$$\mathcal{F}_a^b \equiv -\delta_a^b \square - R_a^b + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_a^b, \quad (11)$$

$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_a^b$  being the pseudo-differential operator defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_a^b \varepsilon_b(x) \equiv - \int_M \zeta_a^{cd}(x, x') \nabla_{(c} \varepsilon_{d)}(x') dV'. \quad (12)$$

The gauge-field operator  $P_{ab}^{cd}$  on metric perturbations is obtained by expanding to quadratic order in  $h_{ab}$  the Euclidean Einstein–Hilbert action and adding the integral over  $M$  of  $\frac{\Phi_a(h)\Phi^a(h)}{2\alpha}$ . On setting  $\alpha = 1$  for simplicity, one finds that

$$P_{ab}^{cd} = G_{ab}^{cd} + U_{ab}^{cd} + V_{ab}^{cd}. \quad (13)$$

With our notation,  $G_{ab}^{cd}$  is the operator of Laplace type given by [7]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ab}^{cd} \equiv & E_{ab}^{cd} (-\square + R) - 2E_{ab}^{qf} R_{qpf}^c g^{dp} \\ & - E_{ab}^{pd} R_p^c - E_{ab}^{cp} R_p^d, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $E^{abcd}$  is the DeWitt supermetric (i.e. the metric on the vector bundle of symmetric rank-2 tensor fields over  $M$ )

$$E^{abcd} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (g^{ac} g^{bd} + g^{ad} g^{bc} - g^{ab} g^{cd}). \quad (15)$$

Moreover,  $U_{ab}^{cd}$  and  $V_{ab}^{cd}$  are pseudo-differential operators resulting from  $\Phi_a(h)(\zeta h)^a$  and  $\frac{(\zeta h)_a(\zeta h)^a}{2}$  respectively, in the expression of the gauge-averaging term  $\frac{\Phi_a(h)\Phi^a(h)}{2}$ . To work out the former, we use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^b T_b &\equiv \nabla^b \left[ \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) \int_M \zeta^{acd}(x, x') h_{cd}(x') dV' \right] \\ &= \left[ \nabla^b \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) \right] \int_M \zeta^{acd}(x, x') h_{cd}(x') dV' \\ &\quad + \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) \nabla^b \int_M \zeta^{acd}(x, x') h_{cd}(x') dV'. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

We therefore define

$$T_b \equiv \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) (\zeta h)^a, \quad (17)$$

and add to the action a boundary term equal to ( $d\Sigma'$  being the integration measure over  $\partial M$ )

$$- \int_{\partial M} N^b T_b d\Sigma'$$

to find that the action of  $U_{ab}^{cd}$  is defined by

$$U_{ab}^{cd} h_{cd}(x) \equiv -2\nabla^r E_{rsab} \int_M \zeta^{scd}(x, x') h_{cd}(x') dV'. \quad (18)$$

Furthermore, the definition (9) implies that  $V_{ab}^{cd}$  is a pseudo-differential operator whose action is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
& h^{ab} V_{ab}{}^{cd} h_{cd}(x) \\
& \equiv \int_{M^2} h^{ab}(x') \zeta_{pab}(x, x') \zeta^{pcd}(x, x'') h_{cd}(x'') dV' dV''.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

To sum up, we have imposed the following boundary conditions on metric perturbations:

$$[h_{ij}]_{\partial M} = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$[\Phi_a(h)]_{\partial M} = \left[ \nabla^b \left( h_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \hat{h} \right) + (\zeta h)_a \right]_{\partial M} = 0. \tag{21}$$

Both (20) and (21) are invariant under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms if the whole ghost one-form vanishes at the boundary, i.e.

$$[\varepsilon_a]_{\partial M} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Thus, we have proposed the general equations for an approach to Euclidean quantum gravity where both metric perturbations and ghost fields are ruled by pseudo-differential operators, while the boundary conditions have a Dirichlet and a pseudo-differential sector (and are pure Dirichlet for the ghost). As we know from the general analysis in Refs. [1] and [3], the adjoint of the ghost operator will instead be a differential operator, but subject to non-local boundary conditions, to ensure self-adjointness.

Interestingly (at the risk of repeating ourselves), non-local boundary conditions, jointly with the request of their complete invariance under infinitesimal diffeomorphisms, are sufficient to lead to gauge-field and ghost operators of pseudo-differential nature. On the mathematical side, it remains to be seen under which restrictions the above boundary conditions lead to strong ellipticity [3,8] in one-loop quantum gravity. On the physical side, the applications to the wave function of the universe [10] are entirely unexplored and might lead to a better understanding of quantum cosmology.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partially supported by PRIN97 “Sintesi”. We are indebted to Ivan Avramidi and Alexander Kamenshchik for scientific collaboration on Euclidean quantum gravity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Esposito, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1113 (1999).
- [2] V. N. Marachevsky and D. V. Vassilevich, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13**, 645 (1996).
- [3] G. Grubb, *Functional Calculus of Pseudo-Differential Boundary Problems* (Birkhäuser, 1996).
- [4] M. Schröder, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **27**, 259 (1989).
- [5] A. O. Barvinsky, *Phys. Lett.* **B195**, 344 (1987).
- [6] I. G. Avramidi, G. Esposito and A. Yu. Kamenshchik, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13**, 2361 (1996).
- [7] G. Esposito, A. Yu. Kamenshchik, and G. Pollifrone, *Euclidean Quantum Gravity on Manifolds with Boundary*, Fundamental Theories of Physics, Vol. 85 (Kluwer, 1997).
- [8] I. G. Avramidi and G. Esposito, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **200**, 495 (1999).
- [9] G. Esposito, New Kernels in Quantum Gravity (hep-th 9906169).
- [10] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D28**, 2960 (1983).